

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,903	12/30/2003	Myoung-Ho Jung	8836-221 (IE13077-US)	7410
22150 75	90 06/19/2006		EXAM	INER
	SSOCIATES, LLC		WEBB, GR	EGORY E
WOODBURY,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
		10/748,903	JUNG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gregory E. Webb	1751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	9SS			
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-26 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration of the oath of the oath or declaration of the oath of the	election requirement. The control of the control o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR				
Prioritv u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0805. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/748,903 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that no undue burden would be placed on the examiner however as the applicant has claimed 3 distinct inventive groups, an undue burden would certainly be placed on the examiner. Thus group I, claims 1-9 directed to the composition will be examined.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: The examiner is unfamiliar with the chemical group "phosphorate." It is not clear to the examiner if this was intentional or merely a spelling mistake. Appropriate correction is required if there is a spelling mistake. Although phosphorate is a word (see definition below) it does not seem appropriate in the context of claim 7 as it does not define the valence of the phosphorous group. The examiner will read this broadly to include any compound containing phosphorous.

Phosphorate Meaning and Definition

1. (v. t.) To impregnate, or combine, with phosphorus or its compounds; as, phosphorated oil.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1751

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Messick, Scott A. (US20020115022).

Concerning the deionized water, surfactant and the ammonium perfluoroalkylethoxy phosphonate, Messick, Scott A. teaches the following:

[0065] A semiconductor wafer was coated with a KRS positive resist by spin coating using a puddling procedure. The resist coated wafer was exposed to provide line and space widths of about 100 nm with an aspect ratio of about 6. The exposed wafer was developed by puddling a **deionized water** solution of 0.263 N TMAH and 1% by weight FC-93 (25% active) on the wafer surface while the wafer was at rest. FC-93 is an ammonium perfluoroalkyl sulfonate **surfactant** sold by 3M Chemicals. After developing and while the wafer was still wet, the still wet wafer was rinsed with a rinse composition containing **deionized water** and FC-93 anionic **surfactant** at a level of 1 weight %. The rinse composition was applied to the wafer at rest and the wafer was rinsed by spinning and then air dried. The results show that the resist pattern did not collapse and was satisfactory from a commercial standpoint. A control sample (no **surfactant**

Application/Control Number: 10/748,903

Art Unit: 1751

added to developer and rinse) collapsed at an aspect ratio of 3.5. (emphasis added)

Concerning the surfactant containing fluorine, Messick, Scott A. teaches the following:

[0066] The above example was repeated using FC-143 which is an anionic surfactant comprising an **ammonium perfluoroalkyl carboxylate** surfactant and is also sold by 3M Chemicals. The developed resist pattern did not collapse and was commercially acceptable. (*emphasis added*)

Concerning the photoresist cleaning, Messick, Scott A. teaches the following:

1. A method for developing a **photoresist** pattern on an electronic component substrate for avoiding collapse of the developed pattern comprising the steps of: coating a **photoresist** film on an electronic component substrate; exposing the **photoresist** film to a predetermined pattern; supplying a developer composition to the exposed **photoresist** film to develop the **photoresist** pattern, the developer composition containing an anionic surfactant in a sufficient amount to avoid collapse of the pattern; developing the **photoresist** film to form the predetermined **photoresist** pattern and maintaining the substrate wet; supplying a rinse water solution on the wet developed substrate, the rinse water solution comprising deionized water and an anionic surfactant in an amount sufficient to avoid collapse of the pattern; rinsing the developed substrate; and drying the developed substrate to form an electronic component substrate having a predetermined **photoresist** pattern thereon. (*emphasis added*)

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hopkins, Ronald J. (US4517106).

Concerning the surfactant, Hopkins, Ronald J. teaches the following:

1. An etching solution containing a **surfactant** which is stable against precipitation of the **surfactant** comprising an aqueous mixture of ammonium fluoride and **surfactant** that contains between 13.5 and 45 weight percent ammonium fluoride (NH.sub.4 F) and between 25 and 20,000 ppm of a fluoroalkylsulfonate **surfactant** of the formula (*emphasis added*)

Concerning the surfactant containing fluorine, Hopkins, Ronald J. teaches the following:

TABLE 1	
 Surface Tension	Surface Tension
Before Filtration	After Filtration

Application/Control Number: 10/748,903 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Examples Dynes/cr	n Dyne	es/cm				
(1) LI No. 2.sup.(a)		24.2	_ 26.	0		
(2) LI No. 1.sup.(b)		33.8	53.	5		
(3) C.sub.3 H.sub.7 S	O.sub.3 H	l.sup.(c)		67	.2	67.7
(4) None* 89	89					
(5) C.sub.8 F.sub.17 S	SO.sub.3	K*		21.6	72.7	
(6) Zonyl FSH.sup.(d)	•	25.2	2	76.7		
(7) Triton X-100.sup.(∋) *	39	0.3	70.8		

^{*(}comparative)

TABLE 2

Concerning the ammonium perfluoroalkylethoxy phosphonate, Hopkins, Ronald J. teaches the following:

		_							
% F	ercent	of Con	nplete	ly Etc	hed (Geometri	es	Etch	10
Example	s								
time	20 .m	ıu.m m	icron	15 .m	u.m	4 .mu.m	3 .mi	u.m 2	.mu.m
LI No. 2		<u> </u>							
105	100	100	100	100	100	100			

[.]sup.(a) perfluoroalkyl sulfonate with alkyl groups of 4-7 carbon atoms.

[.]sup.(b) fluorinated amphoteric surfactant (linear perfluoro alkyl sulfonate of 3-6 carbon atoms).

[.]sup.(c) solubility of C.sub.3 H.sub.7 SO.sub.3 H was high but activity was low and substantial reduction of surface tension occurred even at 3% by weight addition. Higher alkyl sulfonates up to C10 may also be employed.

[.]sup.(d) R.sub.f CH.sub.2 CH.sub.2 O(CH.sub.2 CH.sub.2 O).sub.x 4 where R.sub.f is F(CF.sub.2 CF.sub.2)3-9 and x is 6-20

[.]sup.(e) C.sub.8 H.sub.17 --C.sub.6 H.sub.4 --(OCH.sub.2 CH.sub.2).sub. 6-12 OH

[.]sup.(f) ammonium perfluoro alkyl sulfonate of 8-10 carbon atoms. (emphasis added)

Application/Control Number: 10/748,903

Art Unit: 1751

none* 105 100 5 50 60 70 63 FC-93* 105 100 96 56 60 31 96

Concerning the photoresist cleaning, Hopkins, Ronald J. teaches the following:

It is a further object of our invention to provide a series of such etching solutions which after 0.2 micron filtration are essentially free of metal ions, having the same rate of etching at the same etching temperatures as prior art solutions, and which have better wetting characteristics for improved etch uniformity, and do not leave residues or adversely affect **photoresist** adhesion. (*emphasis added*)

Claims 1-6, 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barber, Leslie Cox (US20040029395).

Concerning the deionized water, surfactant, acetylenic alkoxylates and the 2,5,8,11-tetramethyl-6-dodecyne-5,8-diol, Barber, Leslie Cox teaches the following:

[0053] Three process solutions containing acetylenic diol surfactants derived from 2,4,7,9-tetramethyl-5-decyne-4,7-diol (examples 5 and 6) or 2,5,8,11-tetramethyl-6-dodecyne-5,8-diol (example 7) were prepared by adding 0.1 weight percent of each surfactant to deionized water under continuous stirring. The surfactants used in examples 5 through 7 are marketed by Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. of Allentown Pa., the assignee of the present invention, as SURFYNOL.RTM. 2502, SURFYNOL.RTM. 104, DYNOL.RTM. 604, respectively. (emphasis added)

Concerning the surfactant containing fluorine, Barber, Leslie Cox teaches the following:

3TABLE III Comparison of Foam Properties with Solutions containing other Surfactants Foam Foam Rinse Initial Foam Height at Height at Height at Composition Height (cm) 6 sec (cm) 5 min (cm) 5 min (cm) Example 5 0.6 0 0 0 Fluorosurfactant 14.5 14.5 N/A 13.5 (0.1 weight %).sup.(1) Ionic surfactant 22.0 22.0 20.0 N/A (0.25 weight %).sup.(2) .sup.(1)Information obtained from DuPont ZONYL .RTM. marketing literature. .sup.(2)Information obtained from Weil, J. K., et al., "Synthetic Detergents from Animal Fats: the Sulfonation of Tallow Alcohols", J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 31, p. 444-47 (1954). (emphasis added)

Concerning the photoresist cleaning, Barber, Leslie Cox teaches the following:

[0045] In certain preferred embodiments, the process solution is applied to a substrate having a **photoresist** coating applied thereto. The **photoresist**-coated

^{*(}Comparative) (emphasis added)

Application/Control Number: 10/748,903

Art Unit: 1751

substrate is then exposed to radiation to provide a design pattern that is imposed upon the **photoresist** coating. Depending upon whether the **photoresist** coating is positive or negative, the radiation either increases or decreased its solubility in a subsequently applied, alkaline developer solution such as a process solution containing tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH). In a positive **photoresist** coating, the areas masked from radiation remain after development while the exposed areas are dissolved away. In a negative **photoresist** coating, the opposite occurs. The process solutions of the present invention may be suitable to treat substrates having either positive or negative **photoresist** coatings. After the patterned **photoresist** image is formed, the substrate is baked to harden the polymer contained within the **photoresist**. (*emphasis added*)

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koehler, Richard David (US6506806).

Concerning the surfactant, Koehler, Richard David teaches the following:

Various fluorocarbon surfactants were added to solutions of hydrocarbon surfactants prepared as previously described. The surface tension of the resulting solutions were measured as described in Example 1. Table A lists the combinations of hydrocarbon surfactants and fluorocarbon surfactants for all examples. All of the ZONYL fluorocarbon surfactants were obtained from E. I. duPont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Del. The FLUORAD fluorocarbon surfactants were obtained from 3M Company, Minneapolis, Minn. The hydrocarbon surfactants were obtained from various commercial sources as previously listed. Tables 2 to 10 list the surface tension data for each of Examples 2-10. (emphasis added)

Concerning the surfactant containing fluorine and the 2,5,8,11-tetramethyl-6-dodecyne-5,8-diol, Koehler, Richard David teaches the following:

A mixture of **ZONYL** FSH, a **fluorinated surfactant**, and **DYNOL** 604, a hydrocarbon surfactant, was added in the amount shown in Table 12 to a proprietary floor finish from Johnson Wax Professional in Sturtevant, Wis. 53177. The surface tension was measured as in Example 1. **ZONYL** FSH was obtained from E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Del. and **DYNOL** 604 was obtained from Air Products Corporation, Allentown, Pa. The resulting data are in Table 12. (*emphasis added*)

Concerning the acetylenic alkoxylates, Koehler, Richard David teaches the following:

The hydrocarbon surfactants suitable for use in the present invention include any that are useful for achieving a low surface tension in an aqueous system, and particularly those useful for achieving low "dynamic" surface tension. "Dynamic

Art Unit: 1751

surface tension" is used herein to mean lowering the surface tension as a function of time. Examples of such surfactants include nonionic, anionic, cationic and amphoteric surfactants. Many are commercially available such as TRITON X-100 from Dow Chemical Corporation, Midland, Mich., an octylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol; Aerosol OT from CYTEC Industries, West Paterson, N.J., a sodium dioctyl sulfosuccinate; WITCONATE P-1059 from CK Witco Corporation, Houston, Tex., an alkaryl sulfonate isopropylamine salt; SURFADONE LP-100 from International Specialty, Wayne, N.J., an N-octyl-2-pyrarolidone; SURFYNOL 104 from Air Products and Chemicals Inc., Allentown, Pa., a tetramethyl-5-decyne-4,7-diol,2,4,7,9-; DYNOL 604 from Air Products and Chemicals Inc., Allentown, Pa., an ethoxylated acetylenic diol mixture; MERPOL SE from Stepan Company, Northfield, Ill., a nonionic surfactant (5EO adduct of tridecyl alcohol); and MERPOL SH from Stepan Company, Northfield, Ill., (the 8EO adduct of tridecyl alcohol). (emphasis added)

Conclusion

The applicant's claims 1-9 are drawn to a composition containing water and a common fluorinated surfactant. The applicant uses the transitional phrase "comprising" which does not exclude the addition of other ingredients. Claims 2-4 merely further describe the well-known surfactant. Claim 5 suggests adding a second fluorinated surfactant. However, as shown in the Koehler reference, Dynol 604 is already a combination of fluorinated nonionic surfactants which probably contains a small fraction of water. Thus the off-the-shelf surfactant mixture Dynol 604 reads on a majority of the instant claims. It is not clear to the examiner why the applicant would submit an application for a known composition. It is further unclear why the applicant would submit as the only prior art, a patent which describes efficient playback of videos. This does not seem relevant in any way to the claims at hand nor does it further prosecution.

The remainder of the references cited are meant to provide a background in state-of-the art use of fluorinated surfactants in precision cleaning.

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglass McGinty can be reached on (571)272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory E. Webb
WPrimary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

gew